



Report to the West Cumbria Sites Stakeholder Group
Thursday 4th October 2007
Cleator Moor Civic Hall

The future structure of the WCSSG

There have been considerable changes to the Site Licence Company over the last few months, namely the announcement of the integration of the Windscale site into Sellafield Ltd, the change of name of the Site Licence operator from British Nuclear Group to Sellafield Ltd, the deferral of the Calder Hall decommissioning programme following the demolition of the cooling towers and the Low Level Waste site becoming a separate entity under a new Parent Body Organisation.

As a result of these changes and others, I feel that the WCSSG needs to reflect better the structure of the nuclear sites in order to effectively scrutinise the industry.

To that end, I would like to propose at the WCSSG meeting on 4th October 2007 a possible way forward, as follows:

- Create 3 new sub committees to look at:
 - Commercial operations
 - Decommissioning/clean up activities
 - Socio economics implications

- Disband the Calder Hall sub committee
- Disband the Windscale sub committee
 - Both of these areas will be addressed as part of one of the above sub committees

- The Emergency Planning sub committee, the Environmental Health sub committee and the Low Level Waste sub committee will continue.

The West Cumbria Sites Stakeholder Group needs to progress in line with the changes in the industry. By structuring the group as outlined above I feel that all the areas will be covered effectively.

The new structure would still require the input of Calder Hall and Windscale representatives, but as part of an overall Decommissioning / clean up sub committee.

The timescales for these changes would ideally fit in with the proposed timings suggested by the industry ie: Calder Hall deferral following demolition of the cooling towers in September 07 and the suggested timings for amalgamation of Windscale and Sellafield in April 2008, giving existing committees sufficient time to complete their scope of work.

I would like to propose a vote at the October meeting to move forward with this suggestion and will field any questions at the meeting.